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Giant Cell Tumor of the Tendon Sheaths of the Fingers

Tumor de células gigantes de las vainas tendinosas de los dedos de la mano
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Clinical Case Presentation

The case was a male patient, 65 years old, with no relevant medical history, who was consulted due to painless swelling on the dorsal side of the fifth finger of the left hand which had lasted for 4 years, with no history of trauma. Physical examination confirmed the tumor, elastic on palpation, with preserved finger mobility (Fig. 1) Simple X-rays showed a soft tissue tumor (Fig. 2); the ultrasound demonstrated the same lesion and the MRI showed a lesion measuring 16 × 10 × 12 mm in diameter cranio-caudally, antero-posterior and transversely, inhomogeneous, with a hypointense signal and loculations on T1, predominantly hyperintense on T2 and showing moderate contrast enhancement (Fig. 3).

Diagnosis and Evolution

The lesion was diagnosed to be a giant cell tumor of the tendon sheath of the fifth finger and was removed in its entirety (Fig. 4). Two years later the lesion recurred (Fig. 5) and the patient underwent surgery. Currently, after two years, there have been no new recurrences, the mobility of the distal interphalangeal joint is limited, although the function of the patient’s hand is normal.

Review/discussion

The TSGCT of unknown etiology, excluding ganglia, represent almost half of the soft tissue tumors of the hand.1 It is common to find nodular forms and they occur in patients 30–50 years of age, although with a wide age range, being often female (in 55%–70% of cases). They are usually found on the volar side, the laterovolar side or the fingers, especially in the vicinity of the distal interphalangeal joint, without preference for a particular finger.1 3–8 Regarding laterality, the lesion is more common on the right and the dominant hand.5 6

The suspected diagnosis of TSGCT of the hand is made clinically and through complementary imaging tests, including simple radiographs and ultrasound, which are usually sufficient, and no biopsy is needed. Clinically, it is suspected when documenting a solitary soft tissue nodule that respects the skin, is often eccentric, painless and slow-growing.1 1%–24% of patients report some pain,3 4 6 usually in conjunction with increased volume and, sometimes, neurovascular compromise. The clinical differential diagnosis is extensive. Ultrasound identifies a generally homogeneous, hypo- or hypoechogenic solid lesion or, rarely, a heterogeneous one, with increased vascularity on Doppler study, and in relation to the tendon sheath, with which it does not move for that reason.5 8 It is also common to find satellite lesions, and although this lesion could be confused with a ruptured synovial cysts, confusion has no therapeutic significance if intervened upon. Among complementary imaging, MRI is considered by some to be the most accurate imaging test for diagnosis.4 7 8

Treatment of TSGCT is based on the complete removal of the lesion. The technique seems more reliable using a large exposition and using magnifying glasses or microsurgical tools.5 9 Radiation therapy, although controversial, could be indicated in cases with...
Fig. 2. Simple X-ray AP (A) and lateral projections (B), with an increase in density corresponding to the lesion, with indemnity of the phalangeal soft tissue.

Fig. 3. Axial MRI scanning, showing a hypointense lesion on T1 (A) and hyperintense on T2 (B), with slight enhancement after contrast administration (C).

Fig. 4. Macroscopic appearance of the lesion, after excision of nodular tissue and of a yellow-brownish color.
higher risk of recurrence (lesions with mitosis, bone involvement or incomplete resection). This occurs in up to 45% of treated cases.
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