



Photo 1. Patients with acute shoulder pain and normal ultrasound scan results. (A) Appearance of the supraclavicular regions of the male patient aged 78 years old, clearly showing the asymmetry of the right supraclavicular triangle in comparison with the left one. (B) Thoracic X-ray image of the same patient, showing a pulmonary mass subsequently identified as epidermoid carcinoma. (C) Posteroanterior X-ray image of a 50-year-old woman with acute shoulder pain, showing a complete pneumothorax of the right hemithorax without mediastinal deviation.

References

- Guillén Astete C, Kaumi L, Tejada Sorados RM, Medina Quiñones C, Borja Serrati JF. Prevalence of non-traumatic musculoskeletal pathology as main complaint and its impact in a emergency department. *Semergen*. 2016;42:158–63.
- Levine BD, Motamedi K, Seeger LL. Imaging of the shoulder: a comparison of MRI and ultrasound. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2012;11:239–43.
- Guillén C, Boteanu A, Giraldo W, Garrote S, Llop M, Bouroncle C, et al. THU0333 ultrasonographic characterization of the shoulder pain as reason for consultation in the Emergency Department of a Spanish Tertiary Center. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2014;73 Suppl. 2:297.
- Wall LB, Teefey SA, Middleton WD, Dahiya N, Steger-May K, Kim HM, et al. Diagnostic performance and reliability of ultrasonography for fatty degeneration of the rotator cuff muscles. *J Bone Jt Surg Am*. 2012;94:e83.
- Cadogan A, Laslett M, Hing WA, McNair PJ, Coates MH. A prospective study of shoulder pain in primary care: prevalence of imaged pathology and response to guided diagnostic blocks. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2011;12:119.
- Artul S, Habib G. Ultrasonographic clues for acuity/chronicity of rotator cuff tear. *Eur J Rheumatol*. 2017;4:260–3.
- Guillén Astete C, Aranda García Y, de la Casa Resino C, Carpena Zafrailla M, Braña Cardeñosa A, Roldan Moll F, et al. Sternoclavicular septic arthritis: a series of 5 cases and review of the literature. *Reumatol Clin*. 2015;11:48–51.
- Guillén-Astete C, Luque-Alarcón M, de la Casa Resino C, Carreño-Glaria J. Síndrome de Parsonage-Turner: Reporte de tres casos, revisión de la literatura y propuesta de algoritmo diagnóstico. *Acta Reumatol*. 2014;1:55–60.

Carlos Antonio Guillen Astete^{a,*}, Veronica Garcia Garcia^a,
Monica Luque Alarcon^b

^a Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

^b Servicio de Neurología, Hospital Universitario Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: cguillen.hrc@salud.madrid.org (C.A. Guillen Astete).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reumae.2018.10.024>

2173-5743/ © 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Reumatología y Colegio Mexicano de Reumatología. All rights reserved.

Response to: A comparative study of doppler ultrasound against temporal artery biopsy in the diagnosis of giant cell arteritis[☆]



Respuesta a: Estudio comparativo de la ecografía Doppler frente a la biopsia de arteria temporal en el diagnóstico de la arteritis de células gigantes

Dear Editor,

I read with interest the study by González Porto et al. who question the usefulness of ultrasound in the diagnosis of giant cell

arteritis (GCA).¹ The article reflects an effort made to improve precision and innovation in the diagnosis of this disease which is to be welcomed, although several inaccuracies would need to be touched on.

In material and methods the experience of the sonographer is not mentioned, although this is vital for evaluation of findings. It should thus be highlighted that the sonographic parameters used are not optimum, because a 10 MHz probe was used whilst in the EULAR² recommendations it states that for temporal arteries a probe of at least 15 MHz should be used; the frequency of colour used is very low, 5.7 MHz compared with 7–12 MHz which should be used and the PRF of 1.1 kHz should have been 2–3.5 kHz. This

[☆] Please cite this article as: de Miguel E, Monjo I. Respuesta a: Estudio comparativo de la ecografía doppler frente a la biopsia de arteria temporal en el diagnóstico de la arteritis de células gigantes. *Reumatol Clin*. 2020;16:510–511.

would all probably reduce the quality of the results and challenge the final conclusion that the usefulness of the ultrasound was limited.

In the EULAR recommendations on the use of imaging in large cell vasculitis (LCV) it is stated that ultrasound should be performed by a specialist trained in using the equipment, operational procedures and appropriate adjustments. They also comment upon the fact that reliability can improve with specific training and that scientific societies need to promote training programmes, particularly in LCV sonography. I know that the Spanish Rheumatology Society had a training programme for implementation of these recommendations during the first quarter of 2019 and I imagine that this initiative will also be adopted by other scientific societies.

However, I wish to offer my thanks and underline the authors' interest in bringing this technique to their patients. Also to encourage them to continue, in the secure knowledge that it will be useful for them. This is the path we began in 2004 and our results then were only 15% higher than those of the authors, with sensitivities and specificities of approximately 70%. Since then we periodically review our results comparing the diagnostic classification in keeping with the biopsy, ACR classification criteria and ultrasound criteria. This, together with improvement in the quality of the equipment, have led to a sensitivity of 91.6% and specificity of 95.83%³ in our centre.

I would finally like to point out that the debate on whether to use ultrasound or biopsy in GCA diagnosis is coming to an end. EULAR recommendations conclude that both are valid and their

use depends on their availability and the training practised in each centre.² In the next GCA ACR/EULAR classification criteria, presented in the last ACR 2018 Congress, ultrasound appears to be of the same value (5 points) as biopsy, with 6 points being the number required to confirm classification after fulfilling entry criteria.

References

- González Porto SA, Silva Díaz MT, Reguera Arias A, Pombo Otero J, González Rodríguez A, Valero Gasalla J, et al. Estudio comparativo de la ecografía Doppler frente a la biopsia de arteria temporal en el diagnóstico de la arteritis de células gigantes. *Reumatol Clin.* 2018; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reuma.2018.08.007>, pii:S1699-258X(18)30187.
- Dejaco C, Ramiro S, Duftner C, Besson FJ, Bley TA, Blockmans D, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging in large vessel vasculitis in clinical practice. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2018;77:636–43.
- Aranda-Valera IC, García Carazo S, Monjo Henry I, de Miguel Mendieta E. Diagnostic validity of Doppler ultrasound in giant cell arteritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2017;103 Suppl. 35:S123–7.

Eugenio de Miguel*, Irene Monjo

Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: eugenio.demiguel@gmail.com (E. de Miguel).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reumae.2018.11.011>

2173-5743/ © 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Reumatología y Colegio Mexicano de Reumatología. All rights reserved.

Reply[☆]



Respuesta

Dear Editor,

We read Dr. de Miguel's response to our work attentively, and we would like to thank him for his remarks, and comment on certain question to clarify the conclusions of the same.

We share the aim of improving the care of patients with a strong suspicion of giant cell arteritis. In our case, motivated by the large number of temporal artery biopsy requests received by the plastic surgery department in our hospital, and to improve our professional competency, we decided to undertake a prospective comparative study to analyse the sensitivity and specificity of Doppler ultrasound scan vs biopsy.¹ For this all of the patients were included for whom a temporal artery biopsy was requested due to the suspicion of vasculitis from February 2015 to July 2016.

The ultrasound scan studies were performed by a professional in the rheumatology department who had been trained in the technique, and we made maximum use of the resources that were available to us when we commenced the study. At the time, the available papers referred to equipment with transducers of at least 8 mHz to 10 mHz,^{2,3} so that we used the departmental ultrasound scanner (Mindray® Z6 with a lineal 7L4P transducer). We also adjusted the colour frequency parameters and PRF to achieve the best quality image.

The recently published EULAR recommendations on the use of imaging tests in large vessel vasculitis⁴ show the parameters and specific equipment which achieve higher sensitivity and specificity. These recommendations and the work of the Ultrasound School of the Spanish Society of Rheumatology will be of great help in standardising the methodology which should be used when researching giant cell arteritis and improving its results.

Financing

This research received no specific support from public or private sector agencies or not-for-profit bodies.

References

- González Porto SA, Silva Díaz MT, Reguera Arias A, Pombo Otero J, González Rodríguez A, Valero Gasalla J, et al. A comparative study of doppler ultrasound against temporary artery biopsy in the diagnosis of giant cell arteritis. *Reumatol Clin.* 2018; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reuma.2018.08.007>.
- Schmidt WA, Gromnica-Ihle E. What is the best approach to diagnosing large-vessel vasculitis? *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* 2005;19(2):223–42.
- Schmidt WA, Kraft HE, Vorpahl K, Völker L, Gromnica-Ihle EJ. Color duplex ultrasonography in the diagnosis of temporal arteritis. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;337:1336–42.
- Dejaco C, Ramiro S, Duftner C, Besson FL, Bley TA, Blockmans D, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging in large vessel vasculitis in clinical practice. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2018;77:636–43.

Sara Alicia González Porto^{a,*}, María Teresa Silva Díaz^b, Ana Reguera Arias^c, Jorge Pombo Otero^c, Alba González Rodríguez^d, Javier Valero Gasalla^d, Francisco Javier de Toro Santos^b

[☆] Please cite this article as: González Porto SA, Silva Díaz MT, Reguera Arias A, Pombo Otero J, González Rodríguez A, Valero Gasalla J, et al. Respuesta. *Reumatol Clin.* 2020;16:511–512.