

^a Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Barcelona, Spain

^b Unidad de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Servicio de Medicina Interna, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Barcelona, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: aguada.88@hotmail.com (Á. Prior-Español).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reumae.2018.11.017>

2173-5743/ © 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Reumatología y Colegio Mexicano de Reumatología. All rights reserved.

Relevance of a normal ultrasound study in patients with non-traumatic acute shoulder pain[☆]



Importancia de la ecografía normal en pacientes con dolor agudo de hombro de origen no traumático

Dear Editor,

Non-traumatic acute shoulder pain occupies an important place within emergency hospital visits, accounting for about 7% of all visits due to locomotor system problems.¹ Ultrasound scan is an extremely useful tool in the study of this complaint, complementing the preparation of a correct clinical history and detailed physical examination, permitting easily accessed confirmatory etiological identification with a precision comparable to that of magnetic resonance imaging.²

In general terms the most frequent cause of chronic shoulder pain is tendon disease (or tendinopathy). This definition covers all disease that alters fibrous tendon architecture.^{2,3} While partial and complete tendon tears are often found in elderly patients, with or without fatty muscular degeneration, tendinosis tends to be found more often in younger patients (defined as the presence of changes in echogenicity in the fibrous structure or thickening of part or all of the tendon), or partial tears that break the continuity of the fibres.^{3–5} Structural changes, fundamentally tendinous ones, can be detected in patients with acute or chronic omalgia, while in acute cases it is far more common to observe bursitis or bleeding in the biceps sheath.⁶ Nevertheless, it is rare to encounter cases of acute shoulder pain in which the ultrasound scan of the shoulder is normal. In our recent experience we have identified 10 cases of patients with acute omalgia and normal shoulder ultrasound scan results whose final diagnoses were especially alarming. We believe it is relevant to report these cases due to their clinical importance (Table 1).

Two patients, both males aged 65 and 78 years old, had visited due to shoulder pain with mechanical characteristics. Both of them had been examined radiologically and they had received conservative treatment, with rest and first level analgesics. The 78-year-old male had asymmetry of the right supraclavicular triangle, corresponding to the painful shoulder (Fig. 1A and B). After a normal

ultrasound scan of the shoulder, both patients were found to have primary tumours of the lung.

A 50-year-old Asiatic woman visited due to right shoulder pain. The physical examination and ultrasound scan of the shoulder were both normal. Given the lack of correspondence between the intensity of the symptoms and the normality of the ultrasound scan an X-ray was taken which showed right pneumothorax (Fig. 1C).

Two patients, a 55-year-old man and a woman of the same age and both immunocompetent, originally visited due to recent mechanical omalgia. They were eventually diagnosed as cases of sternoclavicular septic arthritis. Both cases were exhaustively described beforehand.⁷ A third patient, a 48-year-old male, with a history of infection by human immunodeficiency virus and in antiretroviral treatment had sternoclavicular septic arthritis 2 weeks after having commenced with ipsilateral omalgia that a week afterwards led to study of the shoulder by ultrasound scan that was reported as normal.

Three patients, 2 men aged 40 and 22 years old, and a woman aged 45 years old, whose cases were described beforehand by our group,⁸ visited due to acute shoulder pain with major functional repercussion. In all 3 cases the ultrasound scan report was normal. After neurophysiological studies they were diagnosed with Parsonage–Turner syndrome (PTS). Recently, a 60-year-old male patient who had recently received an anti-tetanus vaccination with immunoglobulin, had the same symptoms with normal results of ultrasound scan of the shoulder. The electrophysiological study was compatible with PTS. In our accumulated experience from 2011 to 2017, the volume of normal ultrasound scan reports corresponding to patients who visited the emergency department with acute shoulder pain (arbitrarily considered to have evolved during less than 3 weeks, due to the characteristics of the demand for care in our hospital) represents about 7% of all ultrasound explorations. The 10 cases we describe in this letter represent approximately one third of our normal number of normal reports. We believe that it is important to underline that in cases of acute omalgia, after a detailed clinical history and meticulous physical examination, that a normal ultrasound scan report should be followed by a broader diagnostic study, given that some differential diagnoses of shoulder pain with normal ultrasound scan results require prompt therapeutic intervention.

Table 1

Summarised description of cases of shoulder pain with a normal ultrasound scan.

Patient	Age (years), sex	Final diagnosis	Diagnostic medium
1	65, male	Lung cancer, small cell	Radiology, anatomopathology
2	78, male	Epidermoid lung carcinoma	Radiology, anatomopathology
3	50, female	Spontaneous pneumothorax	Radiology
4	55, male	Sternoclavicular septic arthritis	Microbiology
5	55, female	Sternoclavicular septic arthritis	Microbiology
6	48, male	Sternoclavicular septic arthritis	Microbiology
7	40, male	Parsonage–Turner syndrome	Neurophysiology
8	22, male	Parsonage–Turner syndrome	Neurophysiology
9	45, female	Parsonage–Turner syndrome	Neurophysiology
10	60, male	Parsonage–Turner syndrome	Neurophysiology

[☆] Please cite this article as: Guillen Astete CA, Garcia Garcia V, Luque Alarcon M. Importancia de la ecografía normal en pacientes con dolor agudo de hombro de origen no traumático. *Reumatol Clin.* 2020;16:509–510.




Photo 1. Patients with acute shoulder pain and normal ultrasound scan results. (A) Appearance of the supraclavicular regions of the male patient aged 78 years old, clearly showing the asymmetry of the right supraclavicular triangle in comparison with the left one. (B) Thoracic X-ray image of the same patient, showing a pulmonary mass subsequently identified as epidermoid carcinoma. (C) Posteroanterior X-ray image of a 50-year-old woman with acute shoulder pain, showing a complete pneumothorax of the right hemithorax without mediastinal deviation.

References

- Guillén Astete C, Kaumi L, Tejada Sorados RM, Medina Quiñones C, Borja Serrati JF. Prevalence of non-traumatic musculoskeletal pathology as main complaint and its impact in a emergency department. *Semergen*. 2016;42:158–63.
- Levine BD, Motamedi K, Seeger LL. Imaging of the shoulder: a comparison of MRI and ultrasound. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2012;11:239–43.
- Guillén C, Boteanu A, Giraldo W, Garrote S, Llop M, Bouroncle C, et al. THU0333 ultrasonographic characterization of the shoulder pain as reason for consultation in the Emergency Department of a Spanish Tertiary Center. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2014;73 Suppl. 2:297.
- Wall LB, Teefey SA, Middleton WD, Dahiya N, Steger-May K, Kim HM, et al. Diagnostic performance and reliability of ultrasonography for fatty degeneration of the rotator cuff muscles. *J Bone Jt Surg Am*. 2012;94:e83.
- Cadogan A, Laslett M, Hing WA, McNair PJ, Coates MH. A prospective study of shoulder pain in primary care: prevalence of imaged pathology and response to guided diagnostic blocks. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2011;12:119.
- Artul S, Habib G. Ultrasonographic clues for acuity/chronicity of rotator cuff tear. *Eur J Rheumatol*. 2017;4:260–3.
- Guillén Astete C, Aranda García Y, de la Casa Resino C, Carpena Zafrailla M, Braña Cardeñosa A, Roldan Moll F, et al. Sternoclavicular septic arthritis: a series of 5 cases and review of the literature. *Reumatol Clin*. 2015;11:48–51.
- Guillén-Astete C, Luque-Alarcón M, de la Casa Resino C, Carreño-Glaria J. Síndrome de Parsonage-Turner: Reporte de tres casos, revisión de la literatura y propuesta de algoritmo diagnóstico. *Acta Reumatol*. 2014;1:55–60.

Carlos Antonio Guillen Astete^{a,*}, Veronica Garcia Garcia^a,
Monica Luque Alarcon^b

^a Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

^b Servicio de Neurología, Hospital Universitario Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: cguillen.hrc@salud.madrid.org (C.A. Guillen Astete).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reumae.2018.10.024>

2173-5743/ © 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Reumatología y Colegio Mexicano de Reumatología. All rights reserved.

Response to: A comparative study of doppler ultrasound against temporal artery biopsy in the diagnosis of giant cell arteritis[☆]



Respuesta a: Estudio comparativo de la ecografía Doppler frente a la biopsia de arteria temporal en el diagnóstico de la arteritis de células gigantes

Dear Editor,

I read with interest the study by González Porto et al. who question the usefulness of ultrasound in the diagnosis of giant cell

[☆] Please cite this article as: de Miguel E, Monjo I. Respuesta a: Estudio comparativo de la ecografía doppler frente a la biopsia de arteria temporal en el diagnóstico de la arteritis de células gigantes. *Reumatol Clin*. 2020;16:510-511.

arteritis (GCA).¹ The article reflects an effort made to improve precision and innovation in the diagnosis of this disease which is to be welcomed, although several inaccuracies would need to be touched on.

In material and methods the experience of the sonographer is not mentioned, although this is vital for evaluation of findings. It should thus be highlighted that the sonographic parameters used are not optimum, because a 10 MHz probe was used whilst in the EULAR² recommendations it states that for temporal arteries a probe of at least 15 MHz should be used; the frequency of colour used is very low, 5.7 MHz compared with 7–12 MHz which should be used and the PRF of 1.1 kHz should have been 2–3.5 kHz. This