

# Reumatología Clínica



www.reumatologiaclinica.org

#### Original article

## Disease control in patients with ankylosing spondylitis in real clinical practice in Spain: Results of the MIDAS study



Eugenio de Miguel<sup>a</sup>, Cristina Fernández-Carballido<sup>b</sup>, Jordi Gratacós<sup>c,d</sup>, José L. Pablos<sup>e</sup>, Xavier Juanola<sup>f</sup>, Rafael Ariza<sup>g</sup>, Pau Terradas-Montana<sup>h</sup>, Cristina Sanabra<sup>h</sup>, Carlos Sastré<sup>h,\*</sup>, MiDAS Group

<sup>a</sup> Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Paseo de la Castellana, 261, 28046 Madrid, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario San Juan de Alicante, Ctra N-332, s/n, 03550 Sant Joan d'Alacantx, Alicante, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario Parc Taulí, Parc Taulí, 1, 08208 Sabadell, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Departamento Medicina UAB, Campus de la UAB, Plaça Cívica, 08193 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>e</sup> Rheumatology Department, Hospital 12 de octubre, Av. de Córdoba, s/n, 28041 Madrid, Spain

<sup>f</sup> Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitari Bellvitge, IDIBELL, Av. de la Gran via de l'Hospitalet, 199, 08908 L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>g</sup> Rheumatology Department, Hospital Universitario Virgen de Macarena, Calle Dr. Fedriani, 3, 41009 Sevilla, Spain

<sup>h</sup> Medical Department, Novartis Farmacéutica, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, 764, 08013 Barcelona, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 July 2021 Accepted 18 January 2022

Keywords: Ankylosing spondylitis Disease activity BASDAI ASDAS Clinical practice

#### ABSTRACT

*Introduction and objectives:* Understanding the disease activity is fundamental to improve patient prognosis and patients' quality of life. MiDAS study described disease activity in ankylosing spondylitis (AS) Spanish patients and the proportion of them with controlled disease.

*Methods:* Observational, cross-sectional, multicenter study carried out under conditions of routine clinical practice. Adult ( $\geq$ 18 years) patients with  $\geq$ 6 months since AS diagnosis treated  $\geq$ 3 months prior to inclusion. The primary endpoint was the percentage of patients with low disease activity assessed through BASDAI (primary endpoint) and ASDAS-CRP (secondary endpoint).

*Results:* 313 AS patients included: 75.7% male; 78.5% HLA-B\*27 positive; mean (SD) baseline age of 50.4 (12.0) years; mean (SD) disease duration of 15.5 (11.6) years; 73.5% were treated with biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), 22.4% with non-biological DMARDs and 53.7% with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, alone or in combination. Monotherapy with biologics and non-biologics was used by 29.7% and 26.8% of patients, respectively. According to BASDAI, 38.0% were in remission (BASDAI  $\leq$  2) and 64.5% showed adequate disease control (BASDAI < 4). According to ASDAS-CRP, 29.4% achieved remission (ASDAS-CRP < 1.3) and 28.1% low disease activity (1.3  $\leq$  ASDAS-CRP < 2.1). *Conclusions:* Almost two thirds of the AS patients recruited had low disease activity, with about one third of them being in remission (BASDAI  $\leq$  2, ASDAS-CRP < 1.3). These results highlight the existing room for improvement in treating AS patients in clinical practice.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U.

*Abbreviations:* ACR, American College of Rheumatology; AS, ankylosing spondylitis; ASAS, Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society; ASDAS-CRP, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score with C-reactive protein; axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; bDMARD, biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; EULAR, European League Against Rheumatism; HLA-B\*27, human leukocyte antigen-B\*27; nbDMARD, Non-biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; RWE, Real-World Evidence; SAA, Spondylitis Association of America; SD, standard deviation; SER, Spanish Society of Rheumatology; SPARTAN, Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network; TNFi, tumor necrosis factor inhibitors.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: carlos.sastre@novartis.com (C. Sastré).

Palabras clave: Espondilitis anquilosante Actividad de la enfermedad BASDAI ASDAS Práctica clínica

### Control de la enfermedad en pacientes con espondilitis anquilosante en la práctica clínica habitual en España: Resultados del estudio MiDAS

RESUMEN

*Introducción y objetivos:* Comprender la actividad de la enfermedad es fundamental para mejorar el pronóstico y la calidad de vida de los pacientes. El estudio MiDAS describió la actividad de la enfermedad en pacientes españoles con espondilitis anquilosante (EA) y la proporción de ellos con enfermedad controlada.

*Métodos:* Estudio observacional, transversal, multicéntrico, realizado en condiciones de práctica clínica habitual. Pacientes adultos ( $\geq$  18 años) con  $\geq$ 6 meses desde el diagnóstico de EA tratados  $\geq$ 3 meses antes de la inclusión. La variable principal fue el porcentaje de pacientes en baja actividad, evaluado mediante BASDAI (variable principal) y ASDAS-CRP (variable secundaria).

*Resultados:* Hubo 313 pacientes con EA incluidos: 75,7% varones; 78,5% HLA-B\*27 positivos; edad media (DE) basal de 50,4 (12,0) años; duración media (DE) de la enfermedad de 15,5 (11,6) años; el 73,5% fueron tratados con fármacos antirreumáticos modificadores de la enfermedad (FAME) biológicos, el 22,4% con FAME no biológicos y el 53,7% con antiinflamatorios no esteroideos, solos o en combinación. La monoterapia con biológicos y no biológicos fue utilizada por el 29,7 y el 26,8% de los pacientes, respectivamente. Según BASDAI, el 38,0% estaban en remisión (BASDAI  $\leq$  2) y el 64,5% mostraron un adecuado control de la enfermedad (BASDAI <4). Según ASDAS-CRP, el 29,4% alcanzaron remisión (ASDAS-CRP <1,3) y el 28,1% baja actividad de la enfermedad (1,3  $\leq$  ASDAS-CRP <2,1).

*Conclusiones:* Casi dos tercios de los pacientes con EA incluidos presentaban baja actividad de la enfermedad, con aproximadamente un tercio de ellos en remisión (BASDAI  $\leq 2$ , ASDAS-CRP < 1,3). Estos resultados destacan el margen de mejora existente para tratar pacientes con EA en la práctica clínica.

© 2022 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U.

#### Introduction

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS), a common type of axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA), is a chronic inflammatory disease involving mainly the spine and sacroiliac joints, entheses and, less often, peripheral joints, causing inflammation, stiffness and pain and leading to functional impairment and disability.<sup>1</sup> About 20–30% of patients are also affected by peripheral arthritis.<sup>1,2</sup>

AS prevalence has been estimated between 0.1% and  $1.4\%^3$ in our setting between 0.26% and 0.29%,<sup>4</sup> quite similar to other European<sup>5</sup> and Asian countries.<sup>6</sup>

Assessment of SpondvloArthritis International Society-European League Against Rheumatism (ASAS-EULAR)<sup>7</sup> and Spanish Society of Rheumatology (SER)<sup>8</sup> recommendations established as treatment goals for axSpA patients the reduction and/or control of inflammation, pain, stiffness and fatigue, maintenance of spinal flexibility and normal posture, reduction of functional limitations, maintenance of social relationships and work ability and lessening disease complications. To deal with these objectives, ASAS Group defined a core set of measures recommended for patients follow-up.9 Also, ASAS-EULAR recommendations did recommend a treat-to-target approach, but ACR/SAA/SPARTAN did not.<sup>10,11</sup> In clinical practice, ASAS-EULAR and SER recommend Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) and Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score preferentially using C-reactive protein (ASDAS-CRP) to assess disease activity in axSpA patients.7,12-14

Biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) as tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) and interleukin 17 inhibitors have transformed the treatment options especially for those patients with high disease activity. ASAS/EULAR and SER recommend the use of biologics for patients with high disease activity despite conventional treatment, which includes non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and non-biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (nbDMARDs), in case of some concomitant peripheral or extraarticular manifestations.<sup>7</sup> However, not all patients have their disease controlled, indicating a need for alternative therapies.<sup>7,8</sup>

The Atlas 2017 survey<sup>15</sup> contributed to the understanding of the reality of people suffering from axSpA and revealed a long diagnostic delay, high disease activity, psychological distress and an important proportion of these patients being undertreated. Also REGISPONSER,<sup>16</sup> a Spanish registry of axSpA patients, provided data on the clinical and demographic profile of these patients, including disease activity, in clinical practice. However, data from this type of research is complemented by Real-World Evidence (RWE) studies.

Some studies in AS patients have been carried out regarding different outcomes (disease burden, physical function, quality of life, etc.),<sup>17,18</sup> but disease progression remains poorly characterized due to the lack of studies focused on long-term outcomes in clinical practice settings. As a result, the accurate prediction of the AS patients' outcomes still is an ongoing challenge for clinicians.<sup>19</sup> MiDAS emerged from the need to assess the level of disease activity control in AS patients treated in the everyday clinical practice. This data may allow rheumatologists to improve their treatment strategies. The aim of this study was to assess the percentage of AS patients treated in clinical practice who reached low disease activity or remission.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study design

MIDAS is a non-interventional, cross-sectional, retrospective, and multicenter study conducted in 36 centers with outpatient rheumatology clinics in Spanish public hospitals between December 10th, 2018 and August 14th, 2019.

Two different cohorts including patients with AS and patients with psoriatic arthritis were studied; here, we present the results of the AS population. The main objective was to evaluate the percentage of AS patients with low disease activity and remission in clinical practice based on the BASDAI and ASDAS-CRP scores, according to present recommendations.<sup>10,14</sup>

Cross-sectional data were collected during a single routine clinical visit including the primary endpoints as well as patients'

#### Table 1

Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the evaluable population.

	AS patients (N=313)
Sociodemographic data	
Age (years), mean (SD)	50.4 (12.0)
Sex (male), n (%)	237 (75.7%)
$BMI (kg/m^2)$ , mean (SD)	27.0 (4.9)
Low weight (BMI < 18.5), $n$ (%)	8 (2.7%)
Normal weight $(18.5 \le BMI \le 25), n$ (%)	100 (34.4%)
Overweight $(25 \le BMI \le 30)$ , $n$ (%)	116 (39.9%)
Obesity (BMI > 30), $n$ (%)	67 (23.0%)
Missing, n	22
Smoking habit	
Active smoker, n (%)	75 (24.0%)
Packets/year (smokers), mean (SD)	13.6 (11.2)
Former smoker (without smoking > 6 months), n (%)	81 (25.9%)
Non-smoker, n (%)	137 (43.8%)
Not available, n	20 (6.4%)
Employment situation	
Unemployed, n (%)	21 (6.7%)
Employee (excluding sick leave due to AS), n (%)	188 (60.3%)
On sick leave (due to AS), n (%)	11 (3.5%)
Retired, n (%)	49 (15.7%)
Other (e.g. students, housework, etc.), n (%)	9 (2.9%)
Not available, n (%)	34 (10.9%)
Clinical data	
Family history of AS, n (%)	66 (21.1%)
Time of evolution of AS, years, mean (SD)	15.5 (11.6)
Time from onset of AS symptoms, years, mean (SD)	20.5 (12.7)
Time from AS symptoms' onset to diagnosis, years,	5.0 (7.2)
mean (SD)	40 (40 50)
Family history of psoriasis, n (%)	43 (13.7%)
Time of evolution of psoriasis, years, mean (SD) HLA-B*27	9.1 (3.2)
Positive, n (%)	245 (78.5%)
Negative, n (%)	44 (14.1%)
Not available, n (%)	24 (7.7%)
CRP, mg/dl, mean (SD)	5.1 (8.2)
Comorbidities, n (%)	158 (50.5%)
Hypertension, n (%)	66 (21.1%)
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	61 (19.5%)
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	25 (8.0%)
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)	18 (5.8%)
Osteoporosis, n (%)	10 (3.2%)
Kidney disease, n (%)	8 (2.6%)
Hepatic steatosis, n (%)	7 (2.2%)
Others <sup>a</sup> , n (%)	110 (35.2%)

<sup>a</sup> Excluding psoriasis on the skin, uveitis, or inflammatory bowel disease.

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; HLA-B\*27, human leukocyte antigen-B\*27; SD, standard deviation.

questionnaires and questions about their perception on disease and pain control (secondary endpoints). Retrospective data from medical records and laboratory tests performed prior to patient's inclusion, were also used and were recorded in an electronic Case Report Form specifically designed for MIDAS study.

Eligible patients were  $\geq$ 18 years old with confirmed diagnosis of AS/r-axSpA for  $\geq$ 6 months before the inclusion, were classified by the modified New York criteria and ASAS criteria, were treated for  $\geq$ 3 months and had a record of C-reactive protein (CRP) available in the month prior to the study visit. Patients with severe concomitant diseases that could influence the evaluation of the rheumatic disease (neoplasia, other inflammatory diseases, etc.) were excluded, as well as those participating in any other clinical trial at the time of the inclusion. Patients were required to provide informed consent prior to the study inclusion.

Each center included patients from its databases who met all the selection criteria, in a randomized way. For those centers where random selection was not possible, inclusion was carried out consecutively according to the scheduled visits. Table 2

Treatments used at the initial visit.<sup>a</sup>

	AS patients ( <i>N</i> =313)
Biological treatment, n (%)	229 (73.2%)
Adalimumab, n (%)	73 (23.3%)
Etanercept, n (%)	45 (14.4%)
Golimumab, n (%)	37 (11.8%)
Infliximab, n (%)	29 (9.3%)
Secukinumab, n (%)	26 (8.3%)
Certolizumab pegol, n (%)	17 (5.4%)
Ustekinumab, n (%)	2 (0.6%)
Non-biological treatment, n (%)	220 (70.3%)
NSAIDs, n (%)	168 (53.7%)
COX-2, n (%)	86 (27.5%)
Propionic acid derivatives, n (%)	35 (11.2%)
Acetic acid derivatives and acetamide, n (%)	27 (8.6%)
Oxicam, n (%)	7 (2.2%)
Others, <i>n</i> (%)	17 (5.4%)
DMARDs, n (%)	70 (22.4%)
Methotrexate, n (%)	30 (9.6%)
Sulfasalazine, n (%)	42 (13.4%)
Systemic corticosteroids, n (%)	15 (4.8%)

<sup>a</sup> Including patients treated with monotherapy or biological and non-biological treatments from the overall study sample.

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; DMARD, disease modifying antirheumatic drug; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

The Spanish version of BASDAI<sup>20</sup> was used to assess the percentage of AS patients who presented controlled disease activity. According to this version and following the system adopted by some authors,<sup>21</sup> the average punctuation for each of the 6 questions was considered as final score, with a resulting index score (from 0 to 60) which was divided by 6 to obtain a final BASDAI score (from 0 to 10). In MiDAS study, BASDAI <4 was considered as low disease activity and BASDAI  $\leq$  2 as remission; since SER recommendations considered BASDAI <4 as an acceptable control of the disease, this cutoff was used to define controlled disease.<sup>14</sup> For ASDAS-CRP score, the cutoffs for low disease activity (ASDAS-CRP <2.1) and inactive disease (ASDAS-CRP <1.3) were applied.

The study was performed according to the guidelines on observational post-authorization studies for medicinal products for human use specified in Order SAS/3470/2009 of the Spanish Agency of Medicines and Medical Devices and conducted according to Good Clinical Practice (International Conference of Harmonization) guidelines, the Declaration of Helsinki and local regulations, including privacy laws, at the time of the initiation of the study. The study protocol, informed consent forms and information for patients were approved by the Ethical and Clinical Research Committee of the 12 de Octubre Hospital (approval number 18/437).

#### Statistical analysis

National prevalence for AS was estimated around 0.29%,<sup>4</sup> and internal data estimated that 50% of AS patients were on treatment. The proportion of patients with BASDAI < 4 was expected in a conservative estimation to be close to 50% to allow the maximum sample size. A minimum of 267 patients was considered necessary to estimate the primary endpoint with a precision of  $\pm 6\%$  in its 95% confidence interval; assuming that 15% of patients may not meet the inclusion/exclusion criteria, a predicted number of 315 patients should be recruited to ensure enough power and a good precision.

Continuous variables were described by mean, standard deviation (SD), median, minimum, maximum and, depending on the distribution of the analyzed variable, quartiles. Descriptive analysis was based on evaluable data per parameter, excluding patients with missing values. Data were analyzed with Statistical Analysis System Enterprise Guide 7.15.



Fig. 1. Disease control in AS patients according to BASDAI (N=313). (A) Disease control in AS patients. (B) Disease control in AS according to presence of peripheral arthritis. AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index.

#### Results

A total of 336 subjects were included in the study, 313 (93.2%) of them evaluable. 23 patients were considered non-evaluable due to not meeting inclusion and/or exclusion criteria and/or incomplete study data.

#### **Baseline characteristics**

Mean (SD) age of the patients was 50.4 (12.0) years, mainly male (75.7% [237/313]), 39.9% (116/313) were overweight and 75/313 (24.0%) patients were active smokers. Mean (SD) disease duration

was 15.5 (11.6) years and mean time (SD) between symptoms' onset and diagnosis was 5.0 (7.2) years (Table 1).

At baseline, 158/313 (50.5%) patients had comorbidities, the most frequent being hypertension (21.1% [66/313]), dyslipidemia (19.5% [61/313]) and diabetes mellitus (8.0% [25/313]). Human leukocyte antigen-B\*27 (HLA-B\*27) was available in 92.3% (289/313) of the patients and was positive in 78.5% (245/313). The most recent mean (SD) CRP value was 5.1 (8.2) mg/dl (Table 1).

Monotherapy with biologicals and non-biologicals was used in 29.7% (93/313) and 26.8% (84/313) of the patients, respectively, while 43.5% (136/313) received a combination of both therapies. Overall, alone or in combination, 73.2% (229/313) of the patients were treated with biologicals and 70.3% (220/313) with



Fig. 2. BASDAI index of patients with AS: mean score between items according to the BASDAI Spanish version. AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index.

non-biologicals (53.7% [168/313] with NSAIDs and 22.4% [70/313] with nbDMARDs) (Table 2). Regarding prescription of bDMARDs, the most frequently used were TNFi (87.8% [201/229]), followed by secukinumab (11.4% [26/229]); adalimumab (31.9% [73/229]) and etanercept (19.2% [45/229]) were the most frequently used among TNFi users. On the other hand, the most prescribed non-biological treatments alone or combination with bDMARDs were NSAIDs (76.4% [168/220]; COX-2 selective inhibitors were the most frequently used NSAIDs: 51.2% [86/168]), followed by DMARDs (31.8% [70/220]).

Mean (SD) time elapsed from the start of treatment to the study visit was 65.6 (51.9) months for biological treatments and 75.2 (77.3) months for nbDMARDs, 74.3 (93.4) months for NSAIDs and 64.8 (92.9) months for corticosteroids.

#### Disease activity control

According to BASDAI, 64.5% (202/313) of the patients showed adequate disease control (BASDAI < 4), 38% (119/313) were in remission (BASDAI  $\leq$  2) and 26.5% with controlled disease (2 < BASDAI < 4) (Fig. 1). Overall, mean (SD) BASDAI score was 3.1 (2.2). The single BASDAI items with highest scores were fatigue and spinal pain, followed by morning stiffness (Fig. 2).

Mean (SD) ASDAS-CRP score was 1.9 (1.1); 29.4% (92/313) of the patients reached inactive disease status (ASDAS-CRP < 1.3) and 28.1% (88/313) low disease activity ( $1.3 \le$  ASDAS-CRP < 2.1), while 33.5% (105/313) had high disease activity ( $2.1 \le$  ASDAS-CRP < 3.5) and 8.9% (28/313) very high disease activity (ASDAS  $\ge$  3.5) (Fig. 3).

When analyzing subgroups according to the presence/absence of peripheral disease, the percentage of disease control according to BASDAI was lower for patients with peripheral involvement (41.9% [26/62]) versus the subgroup without peripheral manifestations (70.1% [176/251]) (Fig. 1).

Both, BASDAI and ASDAS-CRP, showed a higher value in those patients with peripheral arthritis, mean (SD) of 4.2 (2.4) and 2.4 (1.1), respectively. In patients without peripheral manifestations, mean (SD) BASDAI and ASDAS-CRP scores were 2.8 (2.1) and 1.8 (1.0), respectively.

It should be noted that, each of the 6 items evaluated by BASDAI were higher in the subgroup with peripheral disease versus the subgroup without peripheral arthritis (Fig. 2).

#### Discussion

MiDAS study was designed to assess the disease activity state of AS patients treated in routine clinical practice in Spain. The study shows that 64.5% of the patients achieved low disease activity status (BASDAI < 4) and 38.0% were in remission (BASDAI  $\leq$  2), while 57.5% and 29.4% of the patients had low disease or inactive disease, respectively, according to ASDAS-CRP. This data is essential for the treating rheumatologist to understand the need for treatment optimization and continuous improvement of patient care.

Treatment target for AS patients is to achieve a state of inactive disease or low disease activity.<sup>7,10</sup> In clinical practice, disease activity assessment is usually estimated by two scores: BASDAI,<sup>12</sup> which contains only subjective clinical elements, and ASDAS-CRP,<sup>7,13</sup> which incorporates one objective inflammation measure. In this sense, SER indicated that BASDAI  $\leq$  2 can be considered as remission, while BASDAI < 4 is considered a reasonable control of disease activity.<sup>14</sup> Even though BASDAI has been historically widely used to define disease activity in AS patients, ASAS-EULAR consider ASDAS score as the preferred measure since it combines patient-reported outcomes and CRP.<sup>7</sup> Also, SER considers ASDAS-CRP as the main index to monitor disease activity, considering acceptable an ASDAS-CRP < 1.3.<sup>14</sup>

E. de Miguel, C. Fernández-Carballido, J. Gratacós et al.



Fig. 3. Disease control in AS patients according to the ASDAS-CRP cut-off points (N=313). ASDAS-CRP, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-C-reactive protein.

Different studies have compared and correlated the two outcomes measures used in our study.<sup>22,23</sup> The evidence accumulated supports the better discriminatory ability of ASDAS-CRP as a measure of disease activity in AS patients, as well as for selecting patients for TNFi treatment.<sup>22,23</sup> In our study, ASDAS-CRP revealed a lower proportion of patients with low disease activity than those determined by BASDAI (57.5% versus 64.5%, respectively), aligned with a study performed in real world setting.<sup>24</sup>

The percentage of patients with BASDAI < 4 (64.5%) is higher than what has been previously reported ranging from 42% to around 50%.<sup>25–27</sup> Similarly, the proportion of patients reaching low disease activity based on the ASDAS-CRP score (57.5%) is slightly higher than the reported in previous studies, ranging from 42% to 52.<sup>28,29</sup> These results could be explained by a higher introduction of the treat to target strategies in clinical practice or a higher use of bDMARDs in active AS patients, aligned with the results of a recent RWE study which showed a better control of clinical symptoms in patients under TNFi treatment than those treated with NSAIDs.<sup>30</sup>

The limitations of the MiDAS study include the retrospective, cross-sectional design which does not collect longitudinal data to assess changes over time. Furthermore, since various treatments were not equally distributed within the study population, the effectiveness of the most frequently used would have influenced the results significantly; however, this reflects the reality of the current clinical practice in Spain. Finally, as the patients included in this study were attending outpatient clinics from tertiary reference hospitals, they may represent a population with more comorbidities and higher disease severity; therefore, cautious generalizability to the broader, average AS population, is needed.

#### Conclusions

The MiDAS study, by applying widely accepted outcome measures for disease control and remission as BASDAI and ASDAS-CRP, showed that two thirds of the AS patients achieved low disease activity, with one third being in remission. These findings highlight that there is still room for improvement in the management of these patients in the everyday clinical practice in Spanish public hospitals and raise awareness that treatment optimization strategies are needed to improve patient care.

#### **Funding statement**

This study was funding by Novartis Farmacéutica, S.A., who has been involved during all the process of the study, including this manuscript.

#### **Conflict of interest**

**Eugenio de Miguel** reports personal fees from Novartis, during the conduct of the study; grants and personal fees from Novartis, grants and personal fees from Abbvie, grants and personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from MSD, personal fees from BMS, personal fees from Janssen, grants and personal fees from Roche, personal fees from UCB, personal fees from Lilly, personal fees from Galapagos, outside the submitted work.

**Cristina Fernández-Carballido** reports personal fees from Novartis, during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Abbvie, personal fees from Celgene, personal fees from Janssen, personal fees from Lilly, personal fees from MSD, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from UCB, outside the submitted work.

**Jordi Gratacós** reports personal fees from Novartis, during the conduct of the study; grants and personal fees from MSD, grants and personal fees from Pfizer, grants and personal fees from Abbvie, grants and personal fees from Janssen, grants and personal fees from Lilly, grants and personal fees from Amgen, outside the submitted work.

**José L. Pablos** reports personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Abbvie, personal fees from Sanofi, personal fees from Bristol, personal fees from Gilead, personal fees from Galapagos, during the conduct of the study.

**Xavier Juanola** reports and Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations.

Rafael Ariza has nothing to disclose.

**Pau Terradas-Montana, Cristina Sanabra** and **Carlos Sastré** report personal fees from Novartis Farmacéutica S.A, outside the submitted work.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all investigators who participated in the MIDAS study (Supplementary material) and IQVIA and Carmen Barrull, Carlos Miñarro and Elena Torres for providing medical editorial assistance with this manuscript.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.reuma.2022.01.004.

#### References

- Braun J, Sieper J. Ankylosing spondylitis. Lancet. 2007;369:1379–90, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60635-7.
- Gensler L. Clinical features of ankylosing spondylitis. Rheumatology. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Mosby Elsevier; 2011. p. 1129–34.
- Rudwaleit M. Classification and epidemiology of spondyloarthritis. Rheumatology: Elsevier; 2015. p. 941–5.
- Anagnostopoulos I, Zinzaras E, Alexiou I, Papathanasiou AA, Davas E, Koutroumpas A, et al. The prevalence of rheumatic diseases in central Greece: a population survey. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2010;11:98, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-11-98.
- Bakland G, Nossent HC, Gran JT. Incidence and prevalence of ankylosing spondylitis in northern Norway. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;53:850–5, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.21577.
- Liao Z, Pan Y, Huang J, Huang F, Chi W, Zhang K, et al. An epidemiological survey of low back pain and axial spondyloarthritis in a Chinese Han population. Scand J Rheumatol. 2009;38:455–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/03009740902978085.

- van der Heijde D, Ramiro S, Landewé R, Baraliakos X, Van den Bosch F, Sepriano A, et al. 2016 update of the asas-eular management recommendations for axial spondyloarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76:978–91, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210770.
- Sociedad Española de Reumatología (SER). Guía de práctica clínica para el tratamiento de la espondiloartritis axial y la artritis psoriásica; 2017. https://www.ser.es/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/GPC\_-Tratamiento\_EspAax \_APs\_DEF.pdf [accessed 5.5.21].
- 9. López-González R, Hernández-García C. Medición de la actividad en la espondilitis anquilosante. Semin Fund Esp Reumatol. 2008;9:59–66.
- Smolen JS, Schöls M, Braun J, Dougados M, FitzGerald O, Gladman DD, et al. Treating axial spondyloarthritis and peripheral spondyloarthritis, especially psoriatic arthritis, to target: 2017 update of recommendations by an international task force. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018;77:3–17, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-211734.
- 11. Ward MM, Deodhar A, Gensler LS, Dubreuil M, Yu D, Khan MA, et al. 2019 update of the American college of rheumatology/spondylitis association of America/spondyloarthritis research and treatment network recommendations for the treatment of ankylosing spondylitis and nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2019;71:1599–613, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.41042.
- Garrett S, Jenkinson T, Kennedy LG, Whitelock H, Gaisford P, Calin A. A new approach to defining disease status in ankylosing spondylitis: The bath ankylosing spondylitis disease activity index. J Rheumatol. 1994;21:2286–91.
- Lukas C, Landewé R, Sieper J, Dougados M, Davis J, Braun J, et al. Development of an asas-endorsed disease activity score (asdas) in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009;68:18–24, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ard.2008.094870.
- 14. Gratacós J, del Campo Fontecha PD, Fernández-Carballido C, Roura XJ, Ferrando LFL, de Miguel Mendieta E, et al. Recommendations by the Spanish society of rheumatology on the use of biological therapies in axial spondyloarthritis. Reumatol Clin (Engl Ed). 2018;14:320–33, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reuma.2017.08.008.
- Garrido-Cumbrera M, Gratacos J, Collantes-Estevez E, Zarco P, Sastre C, Sanz-Gómez S, et al. Similarities and differences between non-radiographic and radio-graphic axial spondyloarthritis: The patient perspective from the Spanish atlas. Reumatol Clin (Engl Ed). 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reuma.2020.10.008.
  Collantes E, Zarco P, Muñoz E, Juanola X, Mulero J, Fernández-Sueiro J,
- Collantes E, Zarco P, Muñoz E, Juanola X, Mulero J, Fernández-Sueiro J, et al. Disease pattern of spondyloarthropathies in Spain: description of the first national registry (regisponser). Rheumatology (Oxford). 2007;46:1309–15, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kem084.
- Ariza-Ariza R, Hernández-Cruz B, Navarro-Sarabia F. Physical function and health-related quality of life of Spanish patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Arthritis Rheum. 2003;49:483–7, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.11197.
- Merino M, Braçe O, González-Domínguez A, Hidalgo-Vega Á, Garrido-Cumbrera M, Gratacós J. Social economic costs of ankylosing spondylitis in Spain. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2021;39:357–64.

- Misra DP, Agarwal V. Real-world evidence in rheumatic diseases: relevance and lessons learnt. Rheumatol Int. 2019;39:403–16, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00296-019-04248-1.
- Ariza-Ariza R, Hernández-Cruz B, Navarro-Sarabia F. La versión española del basdai es fiable y se correlaciona con la actividad de la enfermedad en pacientes con espondilitis anguilosante. Rev Esp Reumatol. 2004;31:372–8.
- 21. Auleley GR, Benbouazza K, Spoorenberg A, Collantes E, Hajjaj-Hassouni N, van der Heijde D, et al. Evaluation of the smallest detectable difference in outcome or process variables in ankylosing spondylitis. Arthritis Rheum. 2002;47:582–7, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.10798.
- Vastesaeger N, Cruyssen BV, Mulero J, Gratacós Masmitjá J, Zarco P, Almodovar R, et al. Asdas high disease activity versus basdai elevation in patients with ankylosing spondylitis as selection criterion for anti-TNF therapy. Reumatol Clin. 2014;10:204–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reuma.2013.12.006.
- 23. Pedersen SJ, Sørensen IJ, Garnero P, Johansen JS, Madsen OR, Tvede N, et al. ASDAS, BASDAI and different treatment responses and their relation to biomarkers of inflammation, cartilage and bone turnover in patients with axial spondyloarthritis treated with TNFα inhibitors. Ann Rheum Dis. 2011;70:1375–81, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ard.2010.138883.
- Volnukhin EV, Galushko E, Bochkova A, Smirnov A, Erdes SF. Estimation of disease activity in patients with ankylosing spondylitis in the real practice of a rheumatologist in Russia (Part 2). Rheumatol Sci Pract. 2012;50:38–42, http://dx.doi.org/10.14412/1995-4484-2012-707.
- Bobek D, Zagar I, Kovač-Durmiš K, Perić P, Ćurković B, Babić-Naglić Đ. Scoring of disease activity using BASDAI and ASDAS method in ankylosing spondylitis. Reumatizam. 2012;59:5–10.
- 26. Braun J, Baraliakos X, Listing J, Fritz C, Alten R, Burmester G, et al. Persistent clinical efficacy and safety of anti-tumour necrosis factor α therapy with infliximab in patients with ankylosing spondylitis over 5 years: evidence for different types of response. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008;67:340–5, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ard.2007.075879.
- Kiltz U, Baraliakos X, Karakostas P, Igelmann M, Kalthoff L, Klink C, et al. The degree of spinal inflammation is similar in patients with axial spondyloarthritis who report high or low levels of disease activity: a cohort study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2012;71:1207–11, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200508.
- Zorz, M. 1207–11, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/anniheumdis-2011-200306.
  Kneepkens E, Krieckaert C, Van der Kleij D, Nurmohamed M, van der Horst-Bruinsma I, Rispens T, et al. Lower etanercept levels are associated with high disease activity in ankylosing spondylitis patients at 24 weeks of follow-up. Ann Rheum Dis. 2015;74:1825–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-205213.
- Popescu C, Trandafir M, Bădică A, Morar F, Predețeanu D. Ankylosing spondylitis functional and activity indices in clinical practice. J Med Life. 2014;7:78.
- Moreno M, Arévalo M, Zamora M, Pontes C, Oliva JC, Gratacós J. Comparison of disease activity in patients with ankylosing spondylitis under TNFi or NSAID treatment, is there any difference? An observational study. Reumatol Clin. 2021;17:192–6, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reuma.2019.07.005.