Fig. 1. The graphic represents a pathogenic model of 2019-nCoV considering different virus-host cell interactions. The virus entry, replication, assembly and shedding indicating infectivity are shown at the left, while the right side displays the innate antiviral response characterized by an interferon signature. The center of the figure represents intracellular events unchained by the presence of the virus, driving cell and mitochondrial stress and eventually ending in hypoxic damage. The sites of action of different immunomodulatory drugs are marked. ACE2: angiotensin converting enzyme 2, ANT: adenine nucleotide translocation, CARD: caspase activation and recruitment domains, CQ: chloroquine, CyD: cyclophilin D, ER: endoplasmic reticulum, FK506: tacrolimus, HSR: heat shock response (also unfolded-protein response of the cytosol), IFN: interferon, IRF: interferon regulatory factor, iJAK: inhibitor of Janus kinases, MAS: macrophage activation syndrome, MAVS: mitochondrial antiviral proteins, MDA5: melanoma differentiation-activated protein 5, mtUPR: mitochondrial unfolded-protein response, NFκB: transcriptional activator kappa B, polyA: polyadenylated, PTM: postranslational modifications, RIG-1: retinoic acid inducible gene 1, RLR: RIG-1-like receptors, Th: T helper lymphocytes, TCZ: tocilizumab, vRNA: viral RNA.

expression of interferons and cytokines, which are pivotal for an effective antiviral response.[3] Mitochondrial function is thus essential for the antiviral defense, while these organelles also need to provide for the increased energetic needs of infected cells. This fact points to mitochondrial failure as the mechanism unchaining severe forms of COVID-19 infection.[4] In brief, infected cells are exposed to an overload of nascent polypeptides, transcriptional machinery and by-products of helicases activation, altogether jeopardizing maintenance of protein folding and triggering cell and mitochondrial stress.[5,6] In addition, COVID-19 genome polyadelnylation at the cytosol could waste adenine deposits and challenge mitochondrial permeability transition pore (MPTP). Ultimately, mitochondrial proteostasis collapse would drive caspases activation and irreversible cell damage. According to available literature, calcineurin inhibitors could confer protection from these pathogenic processes. Briefly, these compounds help restore the unfolded-protein response (UPR) at the cytosol, and may in this way rescue cells from necrosis.[7] In addition, upon targeting cyclophilin D, cyclosporin A inhibits MPTP opening, activates mitochondrial UPR (mtUPR) and prevents mitochondrial failure.[8,9] Moreover, through this mechanism, cyclosporin A has shown cardioprotective effects in patients with myocardial infarction.[10]

Of interest, there is a subtype of clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis (CADM) identified by the presence of antibodies against melanoma differentiation activated protein 5 (MDA5), which is an RLR helicase and also the putative cytoplasmic receptor for COVID-19. Patients with MDA5 syndrome are prone to the development of rapidly progressive interstitial pneumonia and refractory respiratory failure. Even though MDA5 syndrome is a rare condition, its resemblance with the clinical features of CoV infections cannot go unnoticed. Notably, critically ill MDA5+ CADM patients can be rescued when a calcineurin inhibitor is administered early in the course of respiratory failure.[11]

Finally, it should be emphasized that cyclosporin A has shown remarkable antiviral activities in a variety of RNA viruses, including the family of betacoronavirus, which employ cyclophilins as chaperones and nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) as a major signaling pathway.[12,13]

On the whole, we suggest that COVID-19 deadly action on host cells including pneumocytes and T lymphocytes, results from their failure to adapt to cell and mitochondrial stress, while dysfunctional macrophages remain as virus reservoir at the target tissue. According to this model, cyclosporin A could confer protection upstream of the cytokine storm in COVID-19 infected patients, a hypothesis which it is planned to be tested in a randomized clinical trial in the coming weeks.

References

1. Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Lancet. 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30628-0, published online March 13.
2. Peiris JS, Chu CM, Cheng VC. Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-associated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study. Lancet. 2003;361:1767–72.
3. Lee HC, Chathuranga K, Lee JS. Intracellular sensing of viral genomes and viral evasion. Exp Mol Med. 2019;51:1–13.
4. Banoth B, Cassel SL. Mitochondria in innate immune signaling. Transl Res. 2018;202:52–68.
5. Chan CP, Siu KL, Chin KT, Yuen KY, Zheng B, Jin DY. Modulation of the unfolded protein response by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein. J Virol. 2006;80:9279–87.
6. Jovaisaite V, Mouchiroud L, Auwerx J. The mitochondrial unfolded protein response, a conserved stress response pathway with implications in health and disease. J Exp Biol. 2014;217 Pt 1:137–43.
7. Du S, Hiramatsu N, Hayakawa K, Kasai A, Okamura M, Huang T, et al. Suppression of NF-κB by cyclosporin A and tacrolimus (FK506) via induction of the C/EBP family: implication for unfolded protein response. J Immunol. 2009;182:7201–11.
8. Lebedev I, Nemajerova A, Foda ZH, Kornaj M, Tong M, Moll UM, et al. A novel in vitro CypD-mediated p53 aggregation assay suggests a model for mitochondrial permeability transition by chaperone systems. J Mol Biol. 2016;428:4154–67.

9. Baines CP, Kaiser RA, Purcell NH, Blair NS, Osinska H, Hambleton MA, et al. Loss of cyclophilin D reveals a critical role for mitochondrial permeability transition in cell death. Nature. 2005;434:658–62.
10. Piot C, Croisille P, Staat P, Thibault H, Rioufol G, Mewton N, et al. Effect of cyclosporine on reperfusion injury in acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:473–81.
11. Go DJ, Park JK, Kang EH, Kwon HM, Lee YJ, Song YW, et al. Survival benefit associated with early cyclosporine treatment for dermatomyositis-associated interstitial lung disease. Rheumatol Int. 2016;36:125–31.
12. Pfefferle S, Schöpf J, Kögl M, Friedel CC, Müller MA, Carbajo-Lozoya J, et al. The SARS-coronavirus-host interactome: identification of cyclophilins as target for pan-coronavirus inhibitors. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7:e1002331, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002331.
13. de Wilde AH, Raj VS, Oudshoorn D, Bestebroer TM, van Nieuwkoop S, Limpens RWAL, et al. MERS-coronavirus replication induces severe in vitro cytopathology and is strongly inhibited by cyclosporin A or interferon-α treatment. J Gen Virol. 2013;94 Pt 8:1749–60.

Olga Sanchez-Pernaute [a],[*], Fredeswinda I. Romero-Bueno [a],
Albert Selva-O'Callaghan [b]

[a] *Rheumatology Division, Jiménez Díaz Foundation University Hospital and Health Research Institute, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
[b] *Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital Universitari Val d'Hebron, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*

[*] Corresponding author.
E-mail address: osanchez@fjd.es (O. Sanchez-Pernaute).